



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,393	0,393 10/17/2000		Matthew Squire	2204/A19	3271
34845	7590	02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
		INNESS & MANA	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI		
	I25 NAGOG PARK ACTON, MA 01720				PAPER NUMBER
				2157	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Communication	09/690,393	SQUIRE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Salad E Abdullahi	2157					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11/29/2005</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers	,						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•	,						
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/690,393

Art Unit: 2157

## Response to Amendment

1. The response filed on 11/29/2005 has been received and made of record.

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/29/2005 with respect claims 1-23 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reason.

Applicant submit the combination of Tappan and Ayandeh fail to disclose the treatment that is given to a routing message at a network device depending upon whether the routing message is received is received from a network device that is external to the domain vs a network device that is internal the domain.

In response to applicant's argument with respect (A), examiner respectfully disagrees because Tapan discloses domain 44 receiving a routing information from router S of an outside domain, modifying the received routing information by additional routing label or parameters, then those routers configured as border routers in domain 44 filter the received routing information (see col. 8, lines 51-62). Furthermore, although Tapan is silent regarding applying a given policy of the domain to the routing information to produce filtered information, however, Chen an analogous system discloses propagating routing update information to a neighboring router including the step of applying a given policy to a routing information (see col. 6, lines 50 into col. 7, line 2). This allows selective generation of routing update messages by an interdomain router for its neighboring peer routers within autonomous systems of a computer network to avoid generation of unnecessary routing updates.

Furthermore, Tapan reference, specifically in according with figure 6, there are plurality of routers in figure 6 routers within a domain such as domain 44 (i.e., I-ASBR,

TR1A, ABR2 etc to E-ASBR). Such routers within commonly administered domain use OSPF protocol to exchange routing information. Routers outside domain 44 such as Router S and Router D. Routers S, D and routers in domain 44 such as I-ASBR and E-ASBR are considered as border router or autonomous systems since they connect their domain to other neighboring domains, such routers use the BGP protocol to exchange routing information

In addition, Tapan discloses an autonomous border router (I-ASBR) in domain 44 for receiving an information packet from another autonomous border router S. The information packet received by I- ASBR contain Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) used by border routers such as the outside domain router S and routers in domain 44 in order to exchange routing information (see col. 6, lines 1-27). Furthermore, figure 6 describes a situation where a source router S (i.e., a border gateway router for an out side domain) transmits an information packet toward destination router D which is also another border gateway router through a routing domain 44. The autonomous border router of the routing domain 44 receives the packet. Autonomous border routers such router S on an outside domain and router I-ASBR on domain 44 use BGP protocol which is an exterior routing protocol to exchange routing information (see col. 6, line 21-27, which describes BGP protocols are used by routers which are not on the same domain to exchange routing information).

Application/Control Number: 09/690,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 2157

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tappan et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,603,756[Tappan] in view of Chen U.S. 6,567,380 [hereinafter Chen].

As per claim 1, 8 and 15 Tappan discloses a method for distributing routing information through a plurality of network devices (see fig. 6, elements I-ASBR, TR1A, TR1B, ABR1 etc) the plurality of network devices being members of a domain (see fig. 6, domain 44), relating to forwarding of routing information, the method comprising: receiving, from outside the domain (external domain or external source i.e. router S), an information message at one of the network devices (I-ASBR), the information message having routing information (see fig. 6, and col. 6, lines 16-27); responsive to the information message being received from a network device external to the domain modifying the routing information (i.e., modifying the data packet by adding label to forward the packet) (see col. 10, line 43-to col. 11, line 2) by of the network device that received the information message to the routing information in the information message to produce filtered routing information (see col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 54 and col. 9, lines 47-65);

wherein the filtered routing information is selectively flooded to network devices internal to the domain flooding the filtered routing information to each of the plurality of network devices (see col. 8, line 51 to col. 9, line 25);

responsive to the routing information message being received from a network device internal to the domain, flooding routing to each of the plurality of network devices (see figs. 8 and 9 and col. 8, line 51 to col. 9, line 55)

Tappan is silent regarding:

modifying the routing information applying a given policy.

Chen discloses in an analogous art discloses a method for propagating routing information to its neighboring router including modifying the routing information applying a given policy (i.e., identifying any changes and applying appropriate routing policies) a received routing information using predetermined policy (see col. 6, line 50 to col. 7, line 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Chen such as modifying the routing information by applying a given policy to allow selective generation of routing update messages (i.e., filtered) by an inter-domain router for its neighboring peer routers within autonomous systems to avoid generation of unnecessary routing updates in order to preserve network bandwidth utilization [see col. 3, lines 5-10].

In considering claim 2, Tappan discloses the method as defined by claim 1, wherein the pluralities of network devices are in a ring connectivity (see fig. 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/690,393

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claim 3, Tappan discloses the method as defined by claim 1, wherein the plurality of network devices comprises at least three network devices, the at least three network devices including a given network device that is connected with no more than one other of the plurality of network devices (see fig. 6, elements in the domain 44).

In considering claim 4, Tappan discloses the method as defined by claim 1, wherein the act of flooding comprises adding a link state advertisement header to the policy filtered routing information (see fig. 7, and col. 7, lines 6-60).

In considering claim 5, Tappan discloses the method as defined by claim 1, wherein the policy filtered routing information comprises the received routing information in the information message (col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 54).

In considering claim 6, Tappan discloses the method as defined by claim 1, further comprising storing the routing information in local data storage (see col. 1, lines 23-38).

In considering claim 7, Chen discloses the method as defined by claim, wherein the given policy is set by an administrator (see col. 6, line 50 to col. 7, line 2).

In considering claim 9, Tappan discloses the apparatus as defined by claim 8, wherein the plurality of network devices are in a ring connectivity(see fig. 6).

In considering claim 10, Tappan discloses the apparatus as defined by claim 8, wherein the plurality of network devices comprises at least three network devices, the at least

three network devices including a given network device that is connected with no more than one other of the plurality of network devices (see fig. 6, elements in the domain 44).

In considering claim 11, Tappan discloses the apparatus as defined by claim 8, wherein the act of flooding comprises adding a link state advertisement header to the policy filtered routing information (see fig. 7, and col. 7, lines 6-60).

In considering claim 12, Tappan discloses the apparatus as defined by claim 8, wherein the policy filtered routing information comprises the received routing information in the information message (col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 54).

In considering claim 13, Tappan discloses the apparatus as defined by claim 8, further comprising storing the routing information in local data storage (see col. 1, lines 23-38).

In considering claim 14, Tappan discloses the apparatus as defined by claim 8, wherein the given policy is set by an administrator (commonly administered network shows the given policy is set by an administrator)(see col. 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 35).

In considering claim 16, Tappan discloses the computer program product as defined by claim 15, wherein the plurality of network devices are in a ring connectivity (see fig. 6).

In considering claim 17, Tappan discloses the computer program product as defined by claim 15, wherein the plurality of network devices comprises at least three network devices, the at least three network devices including a given network device that is connected with no more than one other of the plurality of network devices (see fig. 6, elements in the domain 44).

In considering claim 18, Tappan discloses the computer program product as defined by claim 15, wherein the act of flooding comprises adding a link state advertisement header to the policy filtered routing information (see fig. 7, and col. 7, lines 6-60).

In considering claim 19, Tappan discloses the computer program product as defined by claim 15, wherein the policy filtered routing information comprises the received routing information in the information message (col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 54).

In considering claim 20, Tappan discloses the computer program product as defined by claim 15, further comprising storing the routing information in local data storage (see col. 1, lines 23-38).

In considering claim 21 Tappan discloses the computer program product as defined by claim 15, wherein the given policy is set by an administrator (commonly administered network shows the given policy is set by an administrator)(see col. 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 35).

Application/Control Number: 09/690,393

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claim 23, Tappan discloses the network device as defined by claim 22, further comprising a link state module for adding a link state advertisement header to the policy filtered routing information (see fig. 7, and col. 7, lines 6-60).

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## **CONCLUSION**

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E Abdullahi whose telephone number is 703-308-8441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can

Application/Control Number: 09/690,393 Page 10

Art Unit: 2157

be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdullahi Salad Examiner Au 2157 2/20/2006

ABDUTANISALAD RIMARI EXAMINER